

ELA/CSLA Spoken Response on Constructed Responses

Contact Information:			
School Name:		Request Date:	
SAC Name:			
Student Information:			
Student Name:	SASID:	Grade:	
Criterion 1: The student has a Type of plan: □IEP □504 Date of most recent plan:	Identify the disability	that interferes with the student's access to the	
Disability Category (select all that apply):		
☐ Intellectual Disability	☐ Autism Spectrum Disorder	☐ Serious Emotional Disability	
☐ Multiple Disabilities	☐ Deaf-Blindness	☐ Specific Learning Disability	
☐ Orthopedic Impairment	☐ Developmental Delay	\square Speech or Language Impairment	
☐ Other Health Impaired	☐ Hearing Impairment,	☐ Traumatic Brain Injury	
	Including Deafness	☐ Visual Impairment, Including Blindness	
Request:			

Request:

CMAS ELA/CSLA Spoken Response on Constructed Responses UAR: Human Scribe for computer- or paper-based assessment.

Documentation Reminder: When submitting the UAR, include only the page(s) of the student's IEP or 504 plan where the Spoken Response accommodation is documented. Do not submit the full IEP/504 plan.

Notes:

• See the CMAS Spoken Response UAR Guidance for additional support.



Criterion 2: The student has a documented orthopedic or neurological impairment that significantly limits or prevents access to independent written expression.

The student has a(n):

Orthopedic Impairment – does not have to be listed as a primary disability on the student's IEP.

OR

Neurological Impairment – other documented disability impacting the motoric process of writing – does not have to be listed as a primary disability on the student's IEP.

□ No. STOP HERE.

☐ Yes. The student is identified as having an orthopedic or neurological impairment; however, it does not impact the student's motoric process in a way that significantly limits the student's ability to write or type independently.

STOP HERE.

Summary of the impact of orthopedic or neurological impairment on the student's ability to access writing:

☐ Yes. The student is identified as having an orthopedic or neurological impairment that impacts the student's motoric process in a way that significantly limits or prevents the student's ability to write or type independently.

COMPLETE THE SUPPORTING DATA AND CONTINUE TO CRITERION #3.

results:



Criterion 3: The student's level of fine motor writing skills is documented by an evaluation on at least one recent, locally administered diagnostic assessment.

A fine motor or neurological assessment has been administered within one academic year.

If a fine motor evaluation is not available due to a student's ongoing orthopedic impairment, include the date of the last evaluation and a summary of results. □ No. STOP HERE.

☐ Yes. The evaluation indicates the student is below grade level in writing; however, the inability to express through writing is not due to an orthopedic or neurological impairment impacting the motoric process of writing.

STOP HERE.

Summary of fine motor evaluation

Most recent date of fine motor

evaluation or diagnostic assessment:

☐ Yes. The evaluation indicates the student is below grade level in writing; however, the evaluation indicates the student's inability to express through writing is due to poor handwriting, behavioral impact, or lack of instruction.

STOP HERE.

☐ Yes. The evaluation supports that the student displays a neurological or continued orthopedic impairment impacting the motoric process of writing.

COMPLETE THE SUPPORTING DATA AND CONTINUE TO CRITERION #4.



Criterion 4: The student uses the Spoken Response accommodation during regular instruction and during classroom and benchmark assessments.

The student has been instructed on the use of one or more Assistive Technology device(s), software, or scribe during regular classroom instruction and during classroom assessments.

☐ No. STOP HERE.	Most recent date of fine motor	
☐ Yes . The student has tried the spoken response accommodation through one or more types of technology to access writing, but only uses them with an interventionist. STOP HERE .	evaluation or SWAAAC consultation:	
☐ Yes . The student uses the spoken response accommodation through one or more types of technology to access writing, but cannot access it independently. STOP HERE .	How often does the student engage with technology or scribe? Technology:	
☐ Yes . The student has tried the spoken response accommodation by working with a scribe, but only intermittently and/ or only with an interventionist (less than 55% of the time). STOP HERE.	Scribe: Identify the primary method of written expression or communication used most often by	
☐ Yes. The student regularly uses spoken response accommodation for writing independently (greater than 55% of the time). COMPLETE THE SUPPORTING DATA AND SUBMIT THE UAR.	the student in the classroom:	
☐ Yes . The student regularly uses spoken response technology for writing but is still struggling with using the device or software independently. The student is heavily dependent on using a human-supported scribe (greater than 55% of the	Attach the student's writing <u>or</u> keyboarding samples without the	

Attach the student's writing <u>or</u> keyboarding samples without the accommodation use or support (the sample must match the testing mode; include the time the student took to complete the sample). If unable to provide the sample, submit an explanation of the student's inability to provide the sample.

time). **COMPLETE THE**

☐ **Yes**. The student does not use spoken

response technology due to ongoing

additional complications. The student

only uses a scribe for writing (greater

than 55% of the time). **COMPLETE SUPPORTING DATA AND SUBMIT**

THE UAR.

THE UAR.

SUPPORTING DATA AND SUBMIT



Unique Accommodation Request:

In signing this form to CDE for consideration for approval, the principal/designed $\overline{}$	ee and SAC assure that:
☐ The school team met and considered all allowable accommodations be accommodation.	refore proposing this unique
☐ This accommodation is documented on the student's IEP or 504 plan	
☐ The proposed accommodation is used <i>regularly</i> and <i>with fidelity</i> for r assessment.	outine class instruction and
☐ The student is practiced and proficient in using the proposed accomn	nodation.
☐ The SAC reviewed the UAR form, IEP/504 plan, and accompanying of meets all the preceding criteria for the Spoken Response accommodate	
☐ Parents have been notified of this accommodation.	
☐ The UAR form, IEP/504 plan section, and accompanying data were so	ubmitted by December 5, 2025 .
SAC Signature:	Date:
Principal/designee Signature:	Date: